




The book was found

Bowling Alone: The Collapse And Revival Of American Community



Synopsis

Once we bowled in leagues, usually after work—but no longer. This seemingly small phenomenon symbolizes a significant social change that Robert Putnam has identified in this brilliant volume, which *The Economist* hailed as “a prodigious achievement.” Drawing on vast new data that reveal Americans’ changing behavior, Putnam shows how we have become increasingly disconnected from one another and how social structures—whether they be PTA, church, or political parties—have disintegrated. Until the publication of this groundbreaking work, no one had so deftly diagnosed the harm that these broken bonds have wreaked on our physical and civic health, nor had anyone exalted their fundamental power in creating a society that is happy, healthy, and safe. Like defining works from the past, such as *The Lonely Crowd* and *The Affluent Society*, and like the works of C. Wright Mills and Betty Friedan, Putnam’s *Bowling Alone* has identified a central crisis at the heart of our society and suggests what we can do.

Book Information

Paperback: 544 pages

Publisher: Touchstone Books by Simon & Schuster; 1st edition (August 7, 2001)

Language: English

ISBN-10: 0743203046

ISBN-13: 978-0743203043

Product Dimensions: 5.5 x 1.3 x 8.4 inches

Shipping Weight: 1.1 pounds (View shipping rates and policies)

Average Customer Review: 4.1 out of 5 stars 223 customer reviews

Best Sellers Rank: #7,647 in Books (See Top 100 in Books) #4 in Books > Politics & Social Sciences > Social Sciences > Demography #25 in Books > Politics & Social Sciences > Sociology > Class #34 in Books > Textbooks > Social Sciences > Anthropology

Customer Reviews

Few people outside certain scholarly circles had heard the name Robert D. Putnam before 1995. But then this self-described “obscure academic” hit a nerve with a journal article called “Bowling Alone.” Suddenly he found himself invited to Camp David, his picture in *People* magazine, and his thesis at the center of a raging debate. In a nutshell, he argued that civil society was breaking down as Americans became more disconnected from their families, neighbors, communities, and the republic itself. The organizations that gave life to democracy were fraying. Bowling became his

driving metaphor. Years ago, he wrote, thousands of people belonged to bowling leagues. Today, however, they're more likely to bowl alone: Television, two-career families, suburban sprawl, generational changes in values--these and other changes in American society have meant that fewer and fewer of us find that the League of Women Voters, or the United Way, or the Shriners, or the monthly bridge club, or even a Sunday picnic with friends fits the way we have come to live. Our growing social-capital deficit threatens educational performance, safe neighborhoods, equitable tax collection, democratic responsiveness, everyday honesty, and even our health and happiness. The conclusions reached in the book *Bowling Alone* rest on a mountain of data gathered by Putnam and a team of researchers since his original essay appeared. Its breadth of information is astounding--yes, he really has statistics showing people are less likely to take Sunday picnics nowadays. Dozens of charts and graphs track everything from trends in PTA participation to the number of times Americans say they give "the finger" to other drivers each year. If nothing else, *Bowling Alone* is a fascinating collection of factoids. Yet it does seem to provide an explanation for why "we tell pollsters that we wish we lived in a more civil, more trustworthy, more collectively caring community." What's more, writes Putnam, "Americans are right that the bonds of our communities have withered, and we are right to fear that this transformation has very real costs." Putnam takes a stab at suggesting how things might change, but the book's real strength is in its diagnosis rather than its proposed solutions. *Bowling Alone* won't make Putnam any less controversial, but it may come to be known as a path-breaking work of scholarship, one whose influence has a long reach into the 21st century. --John J. Miller --This text refers to an out of print or unavailable edition of this title.

"If you don't go to somebody's funeral, they won't come to yours," Yogi Berra once said, neatly articulating the value of social networks. In this alarming and important study, Putnam, a professor of sociology at Harvard, charts the grievous deterioration over the past two generations of the organized ways in which people relate to one another and partake in civil life in the U.S. For example, in 1960, 62.8% of Americans of voting age participated in the presidential election, whereas by 1996, the percentage had slipped to 48.9%. While most Americans still claim a serious "religious commitment," church attendance is down roughly 25%-50% from the 1950s, and the number of Americans who attended public meetings of any kind dropped 40% between 1973 and 1994. Even the once stable norm of community life has shifted: one in five Americans moves once a year, while two in five expect to move in five years. Putnam claims that this has created a U.S. population that is increasingly isolated and less empathetic toward its fellow citizens, that is often

angrier and less willing to unite in communities or as a nation. Marshaling a plentiful array of facts, figures, charts and survey results, Putnam delivers his message with verve and clarity. He concludes his analysis with a concise set of potential solutions, such as educational programs, work-based initiatives and funded community-service programs, offering a ray of hope in what he perceives to be a dire situation. Agent, Rafe Sagalyn. 3-city tour; 20-city radio satellite tour. (June) Copyright 2000 Reed Business Information, Inc. --This text refers to an out of print or unavailable edition of this title.

This is a famous book, but *Bowling Alone* was not what I expected. What I expected was social commentary. What I got was social science, proving with reams of statistics what is now a commonplace, that social capital in America has eroded massively over the past several decades. Of course, that it's a commonplace is due largely to this book, published in 2000 as a follow-up to a 1995 article, so that it's hardly a criticism of the book. But, paradoxically, it's not clear that most readers nowadays will get much value, by itself, out of reading this very valuable book. That it's not to say readers can't get much value out of this book. But to do so today, you have to evaluate the data it provides with frameworks it doesn't provide. I found that reading this book while keeping in mind some of the insights provided by Yuval Levin's recent *A Fractured Republic* helped me better understand the causes of the decline in social capital. In particular, Levin notes that after World War II, Americans have become increasingly individualistic, in a rebound effect from prior consolidation, which helps explain the trends Putnam documents. Putnam begins by convincingly demonstrating that the same pattern of erosion of social capital has occurred in nearly every area of American life. That pattern is, basically, an increase in participation (and resultant social capital) at the beginning of the 20th Century; an even greater increase in participation after World War II; and a precipitous fall-off from roughly 1970 through the 1990s. He demonstrates that this is true of all forms of political participation, civic participation, religious participation, workplace interactions, informal social connections, volunteering and philanthropy, and mutual trust. After proving this erosion to his, and the reader's, satisfaction, Putnam tries to figure out why this has happened. He carefully parses various possibilities, from increased pressures for time and money, women entering the work force, suburbanization, TV and the Internet, generational change and others. He concludes there is no single culprit and each of these has some responsibility, although TV is the largest driver. Putnam considers only materialist drivers and does not consider

philosophical shifts in American thought, probably because those would be difficult to capture in social science surveys (although it seems to me it could be done, by asking about opinions, rather than activities, while keeping in mind that such self-reporting is subject to all sorts of biases and inaccuracies). Putnam does an excellent job of sub-analyzing the data he presents. For example, he is careful to distinguish trends across generations from those occurring within generations (generally, intra-generational trends are swamped by inter-generational trends – in other words, it’s the younger generations in which social capital is actually eroding). He is also careful to note where the data is uncertain, and to avoid sweeping conclusions. And he makes interesting distinctions that are relevant to his arguments, such as between bridging social capital, that creates new connections among disparate people, and bonding social capital, that creates tighter social connections among people with something in common. Finally, Putnam optimistically lays out a program for restoring social capital, analogizing the current age to the late 19th Century Gilded Age and, among other things, citing Booth Tarkington’s laments about the decline of social capital in the early 20th Century as evidence, given the increase in social capital later in the 20th Century, that the pattern can be reversed.

Putnam’s specific suggestions are not very detailed – they are couched as, for example, “Let us find ways to ensure that by 2010 significantly more Americans will participate in (not merely consume or appreciate) cultural activities from group dancing to songfests to community theater to rap festivals.” How this is to be done Putnam does not really say, other than to claim that “top-down versus bottom-up” is a false dichotomy – “the roles of national and local institutions in restoring American community need to be complementary.” But the problem here is that top-down actions have been a major cause of the problem of eroding social capital, and one that Putnam mostly ignores, since he assigns causal value exclusively to bottom-up causes. Long before Putnam, commentators noted that the growth of the Leviathan state was crowding out intermediary institutions of the type whose decline Putnam decries. In 1953, Robert Nisbet pointed this out, though he did it qualitatively, not with Putnam’s quantitative approach. Nisbet noted that as Leviathan grows, as it did from Progressive times on but most of all starting in the 1960s, intermediary institutions decay, since people seek meaning, and when they cannot obtain meaning on the local level, they will turn to national meaning, thus strengthening the central state (while obtaining only counterfeit meaning). Similarly, this year (2016), Yuval Levin (who extensively cites Putnam) noted that “As the national government grows more

centralized, and takes over the work otherwise performed by mediating institutions — from families and communities to local governments and charities — individuals become increasingly atomized; and as individuals grow apart from one another, the need for centralized government provision seems to grow. Moreover, “In liberating many individuals from oppressive social constraints, we have also estranged many from their families and unmoored them from their communities, work and faith. In accepting a profusion of options in every part of our lives to meet our particular needs and wants, we have also unraveled the institutions of an earlier era, and with it the public’s broader faith in institutions of all kinds.” Levin points both to the expansion of government and to a widespread acceptance of “expressive individualism” as causes for the erosion in social capital. These are the type of framework insights Putnam does not provide, and they suggest that government may be the problem, or a large part of it. That’s not to say that the national government is unable to help with the decline in social capital, but it is to say that its nature is not best suited to that role, and recognizing its culpability in the erosion of social capital is necessary to properly analyze the problem. Similarly, it’s important to recognize philosophical shifts in Americans themselves. In fact, at no point does Putnam assign blame to government action as a possible base cause for the national decline in social capital (although government actions, such as splitting Indianapolis with an interstate, do occasionally figure in anecdotes). The huge increase in government scope and power that began in the 1960s is exactly coterminous with the drop in social capital that Putnam documents. That, by itself, proves nothing. But it’s at least a coincidence that is worth addressing, and Putnam doesn’t. Government, in fact, figures nearly not at all in Putnam’s book, other than indirectly, with respect to individuals’ reduced civic engagement in the political process. In my mind, this blind spot is the biggest defect of Putnam’s book. That said, I am less convinced by a related frequent criticism of Putnam’s argument — that he ignores modern reasons why Americans might choose to be less politically involved, such as the perception both on the Left and the Right that the system is “rigged.” The supporters of Bernie Sanders point to the political power of the rich and connected; conservatives point to the federal government’s, and particularly the Supreme Court’s, seizing of power that used to be devolved to the local level, where individuals could have an impact. But if you think about it for a little while, those things may be true, and they may affect civic engagement in politics, but they say little about areas of social capital

other than political involvement, such as religious involvement and workplace interaction. Therefore, this seems like a weak criticism, although attractive to those who view the world solely or largely through a political lens. Putnam has written books since this one, including a recent one on income immobility which seems like it might be very interesting. I'm curious if there is data from the past fifteen years on the trends that Putnam addresses. While "Bowling Alone" does have a website, most of the links in it don't work, which is too bad. If he hasn't already, it'd be great if Putnam updated some of his data from this book, and let us know if his analysis and conclusions have changed. For example, Putnam notes that non-privatized (i.e., public) religious belief is the single largest driver of social capital. How has the modern tendency away from religious belief, accelerating since 2000, affected social capital? And, of course, this book was written before the rise of social media (although Putnam does discuss Internet social activity in some detail, as it existed when the book was written, including its impact on reducing constraints of simultaneous timing on communication, and the "poverty of social cues" in Internet communication). How has the utter dominance of Facebook and similar media affected social capital? These, and many similar questions, would be worth answering. So, while Putnam's conclusions have, I think, been very valuable for society, I'm not sure that actually reading this book is necessary or valuable for most people. But if you are very interested in the topic, and read this in conjunction with other works, it may well be worth your time, even today.

Robert Putnam has something very important to say that I think would be of interest to any American whether in a small town or a big city. Putnam is making the case that Americans are less civically engaged than they were a generation or two previous and that this disengagement is troubling for the health and functioning of American society. This idea is nothing remarkable, just turn on your television and watch the news and hear about the violence in this country. There seems to be a general lack of respect for the value of human life these days and this disconnection could potentially be explained in part by our declining sense of community outlined by Putnam in *Bowling Alone*. The problem is that Putnam's core thesis of declining civic engagement being problematic for American society is buried underneath an admittedly impressive array of figures and statistics that left a man that has a Master's Degree befuddled at times. This opens up a bigger problem, it is a dense and heavy slog that while fine as an academic work, may not make its way into the hands of the people who could really use the

information to affect change within their community. Not everyone is blessed to have had access to the book smarts that I have, nor should we believe that a bunch of academics are going to be the saviors of the world. Street smarts and community knowledge are going to be needed just as much as academics to deal with this problem. While other reviewers have brought up the validity issue of some or all of the measures of social engagement that Putnam uses, I am more sympathetic because it is a difficult concept to measure. Bowling Alone was for me a very sobering analysis that made me reconsider the things in my own personal life and if a book can do that, then it is obviously quite valuable. I just wish that I didn't have to wade through 411 pages of verbose prose and stats to have this sobering wake up call.

[Download to continue reading...](#)

Bowling: A Complete Bowling Guide On: Bowling for Beginners- Bowling Fundamentals- Bowling Tips- Bowling for Dummies (Bowling, Bowling Basics, Bowling ... Bowling like a pro, bowling tips) Bowling: Bowling Box Set (2 in 1): Bowling for Beginners, Bowling Basics & Fundamentals - A Complete Bowling Guide (Bowling, Bowling Basics, Bowling Fundamentals, ... Bowling like a pro, bowling tips, Bowl) Bowling: The Absolute Beginners Guide to Bowling: Bowling Tips to Build Fundamentals and Execution Like a Pro in 7 Days or Less (Bowling Basics, Bowling Fundamentals, Bowling Tips, Bowling Execution) Bowling - Step By Step Guide For A Beginner To Learn The Fundamentals Of Bowling (Bowling fundamentals, Bowling Tips, Bowling Basics, Bowling Professional, Bowling Technique) Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community Bowling Score Book: A Bowling Score Keeper for League Bowlers (Bowling Record Year Books, Pads and Score Keepers for Personal and Team Records) Faith in Divine Unity and Trust in Divine Providence: The Revival of the Religious Sciences Book XXXV (The Revival of the Religious Sciences, Book 35) The Death Of Money: Currency Wars in the Coming Economic Collapse and How to Live off The Grid (dollar collapse, debt free, prepper supplies) (Prepping, preppers guide, survival books Book 1) The Death of Money: How to Survive in Economic Collapse and to Start a New Debt Free Life (dollar collapse, prepping, death of dollar, debt free, how to ... how to make money online, shtf Book 1) The Death of Money: The Prepper's Guide to Survive in Economic Collapse and How to Start a Debt Free Life Forver (dollar collapse, how to get out of debt) (Preppers, self help, budgeting Book 1) Bowling Score Book: A Bowling Score Keeper for Serious Bowlers Ultimate Guide to Weight Training for Bowling (Ultimate Guide to Weight Training: Bowling) Bowling Psychology: How to Master the Mental Game of Bowling Bowling for the Intermediate Player: The Fast Track to Bowling a Great Game Sport Psychology Library: Bowling: The Handbook of Bowling Psychology Bowling Alone The Last Lion: Winston Spencer Churchill,

Volume II: Alone, 1932-1940: Winston Spencer Churchill, Volume II: Alone, 1932-1940 Honor
Bound & Two Alone: Honor Bound, Two Alone The Gothic Revival and American Church
Architecture: An Episode in Taste, 1840-1856 Folk City: New York and the American Folk Music
Revival

[Contact Us](#)

[DMCA](#)

[Privacy](#)

[FAQ & Help](#)